UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,952	08/22/2003	Xiao-Fan Feng	SLA1222	8258
	7590 04/06/201 ELLECTUAL PROPE	EXAMINER		
PO Box 872438	}	KAU, STEVEN Y		
Vancouver, WA 98687-2438			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

KRIEGERIP@COMCAST.NET

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/645,952	FENG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEVEN KAU	2625	

	OTE VEIVIOLO	2020				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two months of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
(a) \square They raise new issues that would require further co		DTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Allow a little all a constructions also a constitution also				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>20</u>. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-18 and 21-25</u>. 		ill be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:						
/David I/ Magra/						
/David K Moore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/Steven Kau/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	; ;				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's Arguments/Remarks regarding the claim 14 rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph (Page 10, Remarks) have been fully considered and persuasive. Thus, claim 14 rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph is withdrawn from the record.

However, Applicant's arguments (Pages 10-11, Remarks) regarding claim 14 rejection under 35 USC 102(e) is not persuasive since there is no Affidavit or Declaration under 37 CFR 1.121 presented in the record. "When subject matter, disclosed but not claimed in a patent or application publication filed jointly by S and another, is claimed in a later application filed by S, the joint patent or application publication is a valid reference >under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e)< unless overcome by affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 or an unequivocal declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by S that he/she conceived or invented the subject matter disclosed in the patent or application publication and relied on in the rejection. In re DeBaun, 687F.2d 459, 214 USPQ 933 (CCPA 1982). See MPEP § 716.10 for a discussion of the use of 37 CFR 1.132 affidavits or declarations to overcome rejections by establishing that the subject matter relied on in the patent or application publication was the invention of the applicant. D" (see MPEP 715.01(a)). Thus, the rejection made to claim 14 and its dependent claims in the previous Action is proper and still stands.